# Spectrally refined unbiased Monte Carlo estimate of the Earth's global radiative cooling

Yaniss Nyffenegger-Péré<sup>a,1</sup>, Raymond Armante<sup>e</sup>, Mégane Bati<sup>c</sup>, Stéphane Blanco<sup>a</sup>, Jean-Louis Dufresne<sup>e</sup>, Mouna El Hafi<sup>d</sup>, Vincent Eymet<sup>b</sup>, Vincent Forest<sup>b</sup>, Richard Fournier<sup>a</sup>, Jacques Gautrais<sup>f</sup>, Raphaël Lebrun<sup>e</sup>, Nicolas Mellado<sup>c</sup>, Nada Mourtaday<sup>a</sup>, and Mathias Paulin<sup>c</sup>

This manuscript was compiled on December 6, 2023

The Earth's radiative cooling is a key driver of climate. Determining how it is affected by greenhouse gas concentration is a core question in climate-change sciences. Due to the complexity of radiative transfer processes, current practices to estimate this cooling require the development and use of a suite of radiative transfer models whose accuracy diminishes as we move from local, instantaneous estimates to global estimates over the whole globe and over long periods of time (decades). Here we show that recent advances in nonlinear Monte Carlo methods allow a paradigm shift: a completely unbiased estimate of the Earth's infrared cooling to space can be produced using a single model, integrating the most refined spectroscopic models of molecular gas energy transitions over a global scale and over years, all at a very low computational cost (a few seconds).

climate change | radiative forcing | line-by-line | Monte Carlo

he Earth radiative budget plays a fundamental role in the climate system. Its global value drives the global mean surface temperature changes, its latitudinal variation drives the atmosphere and ocean circulation, its value in the atmosphere drives the mean precipitation amount, etc (1). Building an estimate of it requires the very demanding task of dealing jointly with linear transport physics and molecular spectroscopy, whilst handling millions of rotation/vibration lines of greenhouse gases inside a heterogeneous multiple scattering atmosphere containing clouds. One of the most widely publicised diagnostic is the instantaneous forcing of greenhouse gases ( $H_2O$ ,  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , etc.), defined as the sensitivity of the radiative flux at the top of the atmosphere or at the tropopause to a change in atmospheric greenhouse gas concentration, all other variables being kept constant. An accurate estimate of this sensitivity requires the same detailed physical modelling as for remote sensing, i.e. to include all the statistical physics and quantum mechanics responsible for the intensity and frequency shape of all the spectral lines. Estimates for climate studies require integration over a climatic period  $[t_1, t_2]$ , over the whole globe, over all frequencies  $\nu$  and over the whole height of the atmosphere (see Fig. 1).

The long-term average of top-of-atmosphere flux emitted by the atmosphere towards space, assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium, is given by integrals in space, time, and electromagnetic frequency :

$$E_{\rm LW} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_0^{+\infty} d\nu \int_{\rm Globe} d\boldsymbol{x} \int_0^{Z_T} dz \int_{\Gamma(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathcal{D}\gamma 4\pi k_{a,\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}, z, t) I_{\nu}^{eq}(T(\boldsymbol{x}, z, t)) \mathcal{T}(\gamma)$$
[1]

where  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is the longitudinal/latitudinal location,  $\boldsymbol{z}$  the altitude and  $\Gamma$  the space of all sample paths  $\gamma$  starting at this location.  $4\pi k_{a,\nu} I_{\nu}^{eq}$  is the local atmospheric emission, where  $k_{a,\nu}$  is the monochromatic absorption coefficient and  $I_{\nu}^{eq}$  the Planck function at the local temperature.  $\mathcal{T}$  is either 1 if the path reaches the top of the atmosphere at altitude  $Z_T$  and contributes to the top of atmosphere flux, or 0 if the path ends with absorption either by the atmosphere or the ground. The notation  $\mathcal{D}\gamma$  is used for the probabilistic measure of the multiple scattering, multiple reflection process describing the way photons interact with both the atmosphere and the ground. For a non-reflecting ground and a non-scattering stratified atmosphere, the statistics could be reduced to those of the azimuth angle cosine  $\mu$  on [-1, 1] and the path length l on  $[0, +\infty[$ . For example, arbitrarily extending  $k_{a,\nu}$  below the ground and above the atmosphere to represent complete absorption when reaching ground or 

Author affiliations: <sup>a</sup>Laboratoire Plasma et Conversion d'Energie, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Université Paul Sabatier(UPS) 31062 Toulouse, France : Méso-Star, 31410 Longages, France; <sup>c</sup>Institut de recherche en informatique de Toulouse. Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Université Paul Sabatier(UPS) 31062 Toulouse. France: <sup>d</sup>Université Fédérale de Toulouse Midi-Pyrénées, Mines Albi, CNRS, Centre RAPSODEE, Campus Jarlard, F-81013 Albi CT Cedex 09. France: <sup>e</sup> Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (LMD-IPSL), Sorbonne University, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France: <sup>1</sup>Centre de Recherches sur la Cognition Animale, Centre de Biologie Intégrative, Université de Toulouse. CNRS. Université Paul Sabatier(UPS), 31062 Toulouse, France

S.B., J.-L.D., R.F., N.Me., M.P. designed research; Y.N.-P. M.B. J.-L.D. V.E., V.F. R.L., N.Me., N.Mo., M.P performed research and contributed new analytic tools; Y.N.-P., R.A., M.B., S.B., J.-L.D., M.E.H., V.E., V.F., R.F., J.G., R.L., N.Me., N.Mo., M.P. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail:nyffenegger@laplace.univ-tlse.fr 



125



Fig. 1. Complexity of the integration domain. The flux density at Top Of Atmosphere (TOA), at a given location and a given time, is a double integral: over all frequencies and over the space of multiple-scattering paths. This flux density is integrated over all TOA locations and over a climatic period. The local thermodynamic state of the atmosphere (temperature T, total pressure P, partial pressure  $P_i$  for species i) is different at each time during this climatic period, which is essentially translated into a time-varying line spectrum, associated with molecularstates transitions broadened by frequency shifts and collisions, with Doppler line shapes in the upper atmosphere (no collision) that are very significantly sharper than Lorentz shapes close to the surface where pressure-broadening dominates.

space,  $D\gamma$  would typically be written as

$$\mathcal{D}\gamma = \frac{1}{2}k_{a,\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}, z, t) \exp\left(-\int_0^t k_{a,\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}, z + \mu l', t)dl'\right) d\mu dl.$$
[2]

The total absorption coefficient :

$$k_{a,\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}, z, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} h_{a,\nu,i}(\boldsymbol{x}, z, t)$$
 [3]

is the sum of absorption due to many spectral lines,  $h_{a,\nu,i}$ , each of which corresponds to a given quantum mechanical transition provided by spectroscopic-databases such as GEISA (2) or HITRAN (3).

Computing the multiple integrals and the transition sums in Eqs. 1, 2 and 3 with a standard discretization approach is a routine practice but computation times lengthen significantly when summing over many lines at each frequency. Alternative strategies have therefore been adopted by the community by reducing climate to a limited number of representative atmospheric profiles, and/or by simplifying the spectroscopic description of gas absorption (1, 4, 5).

We report here that nonlinear Monte Carlo strategies now offer a practical way of bypassing these approximations and working directly with the most refined radiative transfer models, without sacrificing any spectral, spatial or temporal dimensions. We even show that this Monte Carlo integration 177 is fully insensitive to each of these integration dimensions and 178 that to estimate the global mean radiative flux at the top 179 of the atmosphere does not require more than a few seconds 180 on a standard laptop (Fig. 2). This is a breakthrough when 181 compared to conventional approaches to calculating radiative 182 forcing, and opens up new research avenues in atmospheric 183 radiative heat transfer. 184

Why haven't such Monte Carlo simulations been performed 185 before? The reason lies essentially in the absorption coefficient 186

 $k_{a,\nu}$  appearing inside the exponential of Beer's extinction law in Eq. 2. If  $k_{a,\nu}$  were known and did not vary along the vertical axis, Monte Carlo integration in time, space and frequency (Eq. 1) would present no particular difficulty. However,  $k_{a,\nu}$  is actually a computationally expensive sum over molecular state transitions that indeed vary sharply on the vertical axis, because of absorbing gas concentrations  $(O_3,$  $H_2O, ...$ ), pressure and temperature. So the very computation of  $k_{a,\nu}$  needs to be nested into this first-level integral through a nonlinear function (integrating the exponential of an integral). Unfortunately, when it comes to nonlinear combinations of integration spaces, Monte Carlo methods are notoriously inefficient, if not impossible to use (6, 7).

Breakthroughs have been reported in (8, 9). Here we essentially retain the Null-Collision concept as a way to bypass the nonlinearity of the exponential function (10, 11). In short, the idea is to add virtual colliders that do not modify the solution of the equations such that their addition allows the true absorption coefficients  $k_{a,\nu}$ , which vary with location, to be replaced by a uniform collision coefficient, whose value is set to  $\hat{k}_{a,\nu}$ , the upper bound of the true ones. This virtual value is then used to sample the distance covered before the next collision. This distance is inevitably underestimated, and a rejection technique is used to ignore the virtual colliders. In such cases, the algorithm continues, sampling a new path length and a new probability of being absorbed, and so on until absorption.

In practice, once time, frequency, position on the globe and 214 direction of propagation  $(t, \nu, x, z \text{ in Eq. 1 and } \mu \text{ in Eq. 2})$ 215 have been sampled, we sample a path-length as if the photon 216 were traveling through this upper-bound field  $\hat{k}_{a,\nu}$  and move 217 it to the collision location. At this stage, a standard null-218 collision algorithm would require defining a collision-rejection 219 probability  $P_n = (\hat{k}_{a,\nu} - k_{a,\nu})/\hat{k}_{a,\nu}$ , where  $k_{a,\nu}$  is a function 220 of the local thermodynamic state of the atmosphere at this 221 location. However, the calculation of  $k_{a,\nu}$  represents by itself 222 a very large computational cost due to the large number  $N_t$ 223 of molecular transitions that need to be taken into account 224 in Eq. 3. This is often replaced in line-by-line models by a costly pre-computation of look-up tables that are used to 226 interpolate the value of  $k_{a,\nu}$  at each location. In order to avoid 227 this pre-computation step and obtain direct access to the 228 spectroscopic data, we push the framework further to include 229 the calculation of this sum in the Monte Carlo integration 230 itself: in (12) it was shown that when  $P_n$  is an expectation, 231 the corresponding random variable can be sampled and null collisions can be decided from the sample without ever estimating  $P_n$ . Here the corresponding idea is to sample 234 one transition and decide null collisions using this single 235 transition. In this way we have designed a fully unbiased 236 spectrally refined Monte Carlo estimate: by enriching the 237 path-space structure with orthogonal random visiting of 238 energy transitions (see *Material and methods*). We also made 239 use of machine learning techniques to further accelerate the computation of both  $k_{a,\nu}$  and the sampling of transitions. Here the machine learning induces no uncontrolled source 242 of uncertainty : these accelerations have been constructed 243 in a strictly consistent way to ensure that the Monte Carlo 244 estimate remains rigorously unbiased (see SI Appendix). 246

We validated the fluxes against the state-of-the-art 4A-Flux line-by-line model (13) for a set of standard atmospheric

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

225

232

233

240

241

245



Fig. 2. No sensitivity of computation time to the extension of 267 the integration domains ( $\bullet$  without and  $\circ$  with scattering by 268 1D clouds). Time to perform a Monte Carlo computation of the radiative flux at 269 the top of the atmosphere, with an uncertainty of 0.1%, on a personal computer with 12 CPUs, as a function of the size of the main integration domains; a) a single time 270 and a single column but different spectral integration ranges; b) a single time, all 271 infrared, but different spatial integration ranges (number of columns); c) all infrared, 272 the whole Earth, but different climatic period integration ranges. The sky is either 273 clear or with an idealized high level cloud to show the very limited impact of including 274 some scattering. Note that while the variance of the estimates is unaffected by the widening of the integration domains, the estimates of radiative flux are themselves 275 affected. Only the last green dot represents the computation time required for a 276 climatically meaningful quantity: the mean radiative flux over all thermal infrared 277 domain, all the globe and a 10-year period. 278

280 columns. Using the 100 atmospheric profiles proposed by (5), 281 we obtained a radiative forcing of  $2.73 \pm 0.06$  W.m<sup>-2</sup> for a 282 doubling of the  $CO_2$  concentration by doing the difference 283 between two mean fluxes, consistent with their mean estimate 284 of 2.71W.m<sup>-2</sup>. We then went one step further by performing 285 a computation over the whole globe and over climatic periods. 286 To achieve this, the atmospheric columns were first randomly 287 sampled from 3 hourly outputs of a 10-year simulation of the 288 IPSL climate model (14) before the radiative calculation was 289 performed. We obtained a global average clear-sky radiative 290 forcing of  $2.56 \pm 0.06$  W.m<sup>-2</sup>. 291

The calculations are very fast as it only takes a few seconds on a standard personal computer to estimate this flux, with a statistical error of 0.1%, obtained by launching about  $N = 10^7$  samples. As expected for a converging Monte Carlo code, the statistical error decreases with the square root of N and the computation time cost lies in the integration including the largest source of variance and does not increase

- P Forster, et al., The Earth's energy budget, climate feedbacks, and climate sensitivity in *Climate Change 2021: The Scientific Basis.*, eds. V Masson-Delmotte, et al. (Cambridge University Press), pp. 923–1054 (2021).
- N Jacquinet-Husson, et al., The 2015 edition of the GEISA spectroscopic database. J. Molec. Spectrosc. 327, 31–72 (2016).
- 3. I Gordon, et al., The HITRAN2016 molecular spectroscopic database.
- J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 203, 3-69 (2017).
- G Myhre, EJ Highwood, KP Shine, F Stordal, New estimates of radiative forcing due to well mixed greenhouse gases. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 2715–2718 (1998).
- R Pincus, et al., Benchmark calculations of radiative forcing by greenhouse gases. J. Geophys. Res.-Atm. 125, e2020JD033483 (2020).
- JH Curtiss, "monte carlo" methods for the iteration of linear operators. J. Math. Phys. 32, 209–232 (1953).
- 7. MH Kalos, PA Whitlock, Monte carlo methods. (John Wiley & Sons), (2009).

279

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

when extending the size of the other integration domains 311 (Fig. 2). For a given atmospheric column and a given relative 312 uncertainty, the computation time required to estimate the 313 radiative flux does not increase as the spectral range of 314 integration varies from  $6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  to the entire spectral range 315 (Fig. 2-a). Likewise, for a given spectral domain and a given 316 relative uncertainty, the computation time does not increase 317 when increasing the spatial (Fig. 2-b) and the time (Fig. 2-c) 318 integration domains (see SI Appendix). 319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

371

372

We have thus devised a line-by-line Monte Carlo integration of TOA radiative flux at the scale of the Earth and for climatic periods, which is insensitive to the widening of frequency, spatial and temporal integration domains, and which is valid for a scattering or non-scattering medium. Freeing the climate science community from the need for pre-computed approximation schemes, these estimates are now available in a matter of seconds on a desktop computer, thus opening up a wide range of new perspectives in climate science (see *SI Appendix*).

#### Materials and Methods

Starting from the radiative transfer equation (with pure absorption for the sake of simplicity),

$$\boldsymbol{u}.\boldsymbol{\nabla}I = -kI + kI^{eq}$$

where  $I \equiv I(x, z, u)$  is the specific intensity in direction u, the simplest view-point on null-collision (11) is to consider virtual colliders as pure forward scatterers:

$$oldsymbol{u} \cdot 
abla I = -\hat{k}I + \hat{k} \left[ (1-\omega)I^{eq} + \omega \int_{4\pi} \delta(oldsymbol{u} - oldsymbol{u}')I'doldsymbol{u} 
ight]$$

with  $I' \equiv I(x, z, u')$  and single scattering albedo  $\omega = \frac{k-k}{\hat{k}}$ , the Kronecker symbol  $\delta$  representing the pure forward scattering phase function. Looking at each transition *i* as if it were an independent species, with  $k = \sum h_i$ , virtual colliders can be added to each transition, with  $\hat{h}_i > h_i$  to write

$$\boldsymbol{u}.\boldsymbol{\nabla}I = -\hat{k}I + \hat{k}\sum P_i\left[(1-\omega_i)I^{eq} + \omega_i\int_{4\pi}\delta(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{u'})I'd\boldsymbol{u}\right]$$

with  $\hat{k} = \sum \hat{h}_i$ ,  $P_i = \frac{\hat{h}_i}{\hat{k}}$  and  $\omega_i = \frac{\hat{h}_i - h_i}{\hat{h}_i}$ . As for standard Monte Carlo algorithms involving mixtures,  $\hat{k}$  is used to find the next collision location,  $P_i$  to decide which transition was responsible for the collision, and  $\omega_i$  to decide whether the collision is an absorption or a scattering event (here a rejection).

**Data, Materials, and Software Availability.** Code files are available at gitlab.com/yanissnp/RadForcE.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** This work is supported by the MCG-Rad Project (ANR-18-CE46-0012).

- 8. IT Dimov, Monte Carlo methods for applied scientists. (World Scientific), (2008).
- J Dauchet, et al., Addressing nonlinearities in monte carlo. *Sci. reports* 8, 1–11 (2018).
   M Galtier, et al., Integral formulation of null-collision monte carlo algorithms. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* 125, 57–68 (2013).
   M El Hafi, et al., Three viewpoints on null-collision monte carlo algorithms.
- J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 260, 107402 (2021).
- G Terrée, et al., Addressing the gas kinetics boltzmann equation with branching-path statistics. *Phys. Rev. E* 105, 025305 (2022).
- 13. Y Tellier, C Crevoisier, R Armante, JL Dufresne, N Meilhac, Computation of longwave radiative flux and vertical heating rate with 4A-Flux v1.0 as an integral part of the radiative transfer code 4A/OP v1.5. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **15**, 5211–5231 (2022).
   369
- O Boucher, et al., Presentation and evaluation of the ipsl-cm6a-lr climate model. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 12, e2019MS002010 (2020).



## **Supporting Information for**

Spectrally refined unbiased Monte Carlo estimate of the Earth's global radiative cooling

Yaniss Nyffenegger-Péré, Raymond Armante, Mégane Bati, Stéphane Blanco, Jean-Louis Dufresne, Mouna El Hafi, Vincent Eymet, Vincent Forest, Richard Fournier, Jacques Gautrais, Raphaël Lebrun, Nicolas Mellado, Nada Mourtaday and Mathias Paulin

Yaniss Nyffenegger-Péré. E-mail:nyffenegger@laplace.univ-tlse.fr

This PDF file includes:

Supporting text SI References

Yaniss Nyffenegger-Péré, Raymond Armante, Mégane Bati, Stéphane Blanco, Jean-Louis Dufresne, Mouna El Hafi, Vincert 3 Eymet, Vincent Forest, Richard Fournier, Jacques Gautrais, Raphaël Lebrun, Nicolas Mellado, Nada Mourtaday and Mathias Paulin

### **Supporting Information Text**

### **Extended Materials and Methods**

Accelerated sampling. To accelerate the sampling of collisions and the associated transition, for each molecular species, a binary probability tree is built for a given frequency domain and for a set of thermodynamic conditions. For each tree, the spectral lines are first partitioned according to their central frequencies. In this way, the total spectral domain is split into narrow bands at the bottom level of the tree (one single line per leaf). In each narrow band, an approximate upper bound of the absorption coefficient is built based on its exact value at discretized frequencies. The choice of these frequencies is driven by the deterministic line-by-line approach (1). Finally, an upper level is built by merging pairs of neighbouring leaves, each upper node with its associated  $\hat{k}$ ; and this is accomplished, level by level, up to the root node of the tree. For a given frequency, the upper-bound absorption coefficient is then readily obtained by querying data stored at the root level. At the same frequency, sampling a spectral line is quickly performed by descending the tree (2), sampling a node at each level (using the data stored at this level) down to a leaf, therefore deciding a line, meanwhile constructing  $P_i$  as the product of the successive node-sampling probabilities. With regard to variations in thermodynamic conditions, the main difficulty lies in the pressure, as it modifies the width of the spectral lines and varies by several orders of magnitude. Several trees were built at specific pressure conditions and the required variables were then linearly interpolated to local pressure. The complexity of the precomputation algorithm is  $\Theta(n)$ , it linearly depends on the number of transitions n. For instance, considering all spectral lines of CO<sub>2</sub> over the infrared thermal range ( $\approx 255000$  transitions) and over 8 different pressures, the CO<sub>2</sub> binary tree takes up to 4GB of memory space for a computation time of about 1 minute (on a i7-1370P laptop processor, using a single execution thread). A change either in pressure condition or in the spectroscopic database requires rebuilding the tree. A new molecular species can be added independently by building its own tree.

As highlighted in the main text, the only impact of the way the sampling procedure is tuned is on convergence speed. This is nothing but an importance sampling approach: the estimate is unbiased.

Statistical convergence. The fact that there is no significant sensitivity of computation time to the number of columns reflects the greater complexity of frequency integration compared with that of thermodynamic states (in space and time). Even a spectral band of width  $6\text{cm}^{-1}$  in a single column (such as that of the first red point in Fig.2) includes a large number of spectral lines and requires  $10^7$  samples for a 0.1% convergence. This requires in turn that the sample-size for columns is also  $10^7$ . The fact that we still get the same 0.1% convergence whether we always draw thermodynamic state from the same column or draw from the large number of columns describing the global climate on a climatic period (up to  $6 \times 10^8$  columns in the examples here) means that integration over thermodynamic states is here a significantly better-behaved problem than spectral integration.

**Possible future avenues of research.** New areas of research seem to be conceivable thanks to the work presented here, and some are already being explored. These include the following:

- The possibility of including the 3D radiative effects of aerosols and clouds (3, 4), provided we know their complete geometry, would make it possible to have a complete reference model which, as far as we know, does not yet exist.
- Ray tracing makes it possible to produce original diagnoses that allow a renewed analysis of radiation exchanges: emission altitude, nature of the emitter, etc.
- In addition to the computation of the radiative flux, its sensitivity (in the form of partial derivatives) to some parameter perturbation can be estimated with minor additional computer cost (5–7).
- Random sampling can be an economical way of performing calculations or analyses on very large volumes of data, such as those from model outputs or satellite measurements. The ten millions atmospheric columns used here to calculate a flux with an uncertainty of 0.1% represent just 1.5% of the 10 years of data, every 3 hours, for a horizontal grid of 1.5° × 2.5°, a fraction that is considerably reduced when using data from models or instruments with very high spatial resolution.
- For planets other than Earth, the absence of inter-median calculations (such as the pre-calculation of spectral absorption coefficients) should enable a wide range of atmospheres to be explored more rapidly.

#### References

- 1. M Kuntz, M Höpfner, Efficient line-by-line calculation of absorption coefficients. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 63, 97–114 (1999).
- 2. MD McCool, PK Harwood, Probability trees in *Graphics Interface*. Vol. 97, pp. 37–46 (1997).
- N Villefranque, et al., A path-tracing monte carlo library for 3-d radiative transfer in highly resolved cloudy atmospheres. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 11, 2449–2473 (2019).
- R Lebrun, JL Dufresne, N Villefranque, A consistent representation of cloud overlap and cloud subgrid vertical heterogeneity. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 15, e2022MS003592 (2023).
- 5. GA Mikhailov, Monte-carlo calculation of derivatives of functionals from the solution of the transfer equation according to the parameters of the system. USSR Comput. Math. Math. Phys. 7, 274–281 (1967).

#### 2 daniss Nyffenegger-Péré, Raymond Armante, Mégane Bati, Stéphane Blanco, Jean-Louis Dufresne, Mouna El Hafi, Vincent Eymet, Vincent Forest, Richard Fournier, Jacques Gautrais, Raphaël Lebrun, Nicolas Mellado, Nada Mourtaday and Mathias Paulin

- 6. A de Lataillade, et al., Monte Carlo method and sensitivity estimations. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 75, 529–538 (2002).
- 7. M Roger, S Blanco, M El Hafi, R Fournier, Monte carlo estimates of domain-deformation sensitivities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 180601 (2005).

Yaniss Nyffenegger-Péré, Raymond Armante, Mégane Bati, Stéphane Blanco, Jean-Louis Dufresne, Mouna El Hafi, Vinc**8rdf 3** Eymet, Vincent Forest, Richard Fournier, Jacques Gautrais, Raphaël Lebrun, Nicolas Mellado, Nada Mourtaday and Mathias Paulin